History of presenting complaint
This is an elaboration of the presenting complaint and provides more detail. The patient should be encouraged to explain their complaint in detail and the person taking history should be a patient listener. While taking a history of the presenting complaint, it is important to have potential diagnoses in mind. For each complaint, ask about:

- Onset (sudden or gradual)
- Course (how it has progressed)
- Duration (how long)
- Severity
- Location (involving one or both eyes)
- Any relevant associated symptoms
- Any similar problems in the past
- Previous medical advice and any current medication.

Past eye history
Ask for detail about any previous eye problems

- History of similar eye complaints in the past. This is important in recurrent conditions such as herpes simplex keratitis, allergic conjunctivitis, uveitis and recurrent corneal erosions
- History of similar complaints in the other eye is important in bilateral conditions such as uveitis, cataract
- History of past trauma to the eye may explain occurrence of conditions such as cataract and retinal detachment
- History of eye surgery. It is important to ask about any ocular surgery in the past such as cataract extraction, muscle surgery, glaucoma, or retinal surgery
- Other symptoms. Ask whether the patient has any other specific eye symptoms.

General medical history
Ask about any current and past medical conditions. These include conditions such as diabetes, hypertension, arthritis, HIV, asthma and eczema.

Family eye history
It is important to ask the patient whether any other member of the family has a similar condition or another eye disease. This can help to establish familial predisposition of inheritable ocular disorders like glaucoma, retinoblastoma or congenital eye diseases, diabetes and hypertension.

Medication history
Ask about present and past medications for both ocular and medical conditions. Don’t overlook any medications that the patient may have stopped taking some time ago. Some medications are important in the etiology of ocular conditions.

It is also helpful to ask whether the patient has been able to use the medication as prescribed (their compliance). If a medication is ineffective, you want to know whether the patient is actually using the medication as prescribed, for example glaucoma medications.

Using your own discretion, it is helpful to find out whether access to medication prescribed is a problem. This helps to ascertain whether cost or other concerns are a potential reason for non-compliance. There could also be practical issues, such as difficulty instilling eyedrops or forgetting to do so.

Do not forget to ask in a non-judgmental way about traditional/herbal medication use.

Allergies
Ask about any allergies to medications or other substances.

Social history
- Smoking (amount, duration and type)
- Alcohol (amount, duration and type)

Birth and immunisation history
For children, the birth history (prematurity) and immunisation status can be important.

When something goes wrong
Thank you so much for your courageous coverage of medical error in the most recent issue of the Community Eye Health Journal. Inadvertent harm in health care settings can be devastating for patients and caregivers alike. Not too long ago, when I was trained in medicine, disclosure of medical error and apology were discouraged because of the potential for lawsuits. Such an approach disregarded patients and morally harmed caregivers. It was therefore tremendously encouraging to learn that, at least in clinical eye care, disclosure of error and apology are being practiced in hospitals and clinics around the world. A recent account in the Huffington Post by a gynaecologist (http://!bit.ly/Huff-apology) complements your reporting and highlights the positive impact of disclosing medical error.

When something goes wrong in public health, or global health, offering an apology can be even more difficult. Responsibility is diffuse and causal pathways are more difficult to discern. There may be fear that acknowledging inadvertent harm could threaten public health programmes that deliver substantial benefits. Consequently, as described in a recent article (http://!bit.ly/glob-apol), apology in public health is less often the norm. We in public health can be inspired and challenged by the progress made by eye health in acknowledging unintended harm.

Your remarkable coverage of this topic in the Community Eye Health Journal has done us all a great service. Indeed, this issue can serve as a model for other fields within health care and across global health. Thank you for so positively advancing the conversation, with extraordinary clarity and forthrightness.
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